

EXHIBIT E

Bunzel, Sharon M.

From: Stewart Foreman [foreman@freelandlaw.com]
Sent: Friday, May 29, 2009 9:17 AM
To: Bunzel, Sharon M.; LPresiado@rusmiliband.com
Cc: pkellymc@pacbell.net; RCampbell@CoastLawGroup.com; RRus@rusmiliband.com
Subject: RE: eBay v. DPS, et al.

Sharon,

I think any position on behalf of my clients is moot since all parties would have to agree to the stipulation. However, for the record and in addition to Leo's comments, my clients object to a consolidated response from eBay that combines the DPS defendants with my clients. The DPS defendants have nothing to do with my clients, and we object to eBay's continued efforts through its pleadings and case management to create an impression that there has been or is some kind of relationship between them. Consolidated briefing by eBay in response to entirely separate motions to dismiss by separate defendants contributes to that improper impression and may create undue confusion.

Thank you
Stewart

Stewart H. Foreman
Freeland Cooper & Foreman LLP
150 Spear Street, Suite 1800
San Francisco, CA 94105
phone: 415-541-0200
fax: 415-495-4332
foreman@freelandlaw.com
www.freelandlaw.com

PLEASE NOTE: To ensure compliance with revised Treasury Regulations under Circular 230, this is to advise you that any tax advice contained in this communication is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of avoiding tax-related penalties that may be asserted against the taxpayer. A taxpayer may rely on professional advice to avoid federal tax penalties only if that advice is reflected in a comprehensive tax opinion that conforms to stringent requirements under federal law.

This message contains information which may be confidential and privileged. Unless you are the intended addressee (or authorized to receive for the addressee), any distribution, copying or disclosure of the message or any information contained in the message is strictly prohibited. If you have received the message in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message. Thank you.

From: Bunzel, Sharon M. [mailto:sbunzel@omm.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2009 11:20 PM
To: LPresiado@rusmiliband.com
Cc: Stewart Foreman; pkellymc@pacbell.net; RCampbell@CoastLawGroup.com; RRus@rusmiliband.com
Subject: Re: eBay v. DPS, et al.

Counsel -

For those of you who have not responded, please let me know your position by noon on Friday 5/29 so that we

5/29/2009

can file an appropriate motion with the court.

Thank you.

Sharon

From: Leo J. Presiado <LPresiado@rusmiliband.com>

To: Bunzel, Sharon M.

Cc: Stewart Foreman <foreman@freelandlaw.com>; Patrick K. McClellan <pkellymc@pacbell.net>; Ross Campbell <RCampbell@CoastLawGroup.com>; Ron Rus <RRus@rusmiliband.com>

Sent: Thu May 28 15:46:28 2009

Subject: RE: eBay v. DPS, et al.

Sharon,

My clients will not agree to an increase in page limits. I do not think a consolidated opposition is appropriate considering the various positions, arguments and authorities cited by the separate defendant groups. If your position is that the arguments are the same and a consolidated opposition is appropriate then there should be no need for an increase of the page limit. In addition, my clients were able to stay within the page limits in connection with their Motion. Increasing your client's page limits is not equitable and would put my clients at a disadvantage considering the short time period within which my clients are required to file their reply brief. Best regards,

Leo J. Presiado, Esq.

Rus, Miliband & Smith, APC

2211 Michelson Dr., Suite 700

Irvine, California 92612

(949) 752-7100

lpresiado@rusmiliband.com

Confidentiality Note: This e-mail and any attached documents contain information which is confidential and/or legally privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named on this e-mail. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this e-mail information, is strictly prohibited and that the documents should be returned to Rus, Miliband & Smith immediately. In this regard, if you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us by return e-mail or telephone (949-752-7100) immediately. Please delete the e-mail and all attachments and destroy all hard copies of same.

Thank you.

From: Bunzel, Sharon M. [mailto:sbunzel@omm.com]

Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 2009 9:48 PM

To: foreman@freelandlaw.com; pkellymc@pacbell.net; RCampbell@CoastLawGroup.com; bernhard@freelandlaw.com; seyamack@coastlawgroup.com; Leo J. Presiado

Cc: Eberhart, David; Kennedy, Colleen

Subject: eBay v. DPS, et al.

Counsel:

Attached is a proposed stipulation to extend the page limit for a consolidated opposition to the pending Motions to Dismiss and Motion to Transfer. Please let us know as soon as possible whether you will agree to the stipulation and whether we have your permission to sign the stipulation on your behalf. Given the significant commonality of

5/29/2009

issues raised by the various briefs, we trust you will agree that this request is reasonable and thereby obviate the need for a motion on this administrative issue.

Thank you in advance for your cooperation in this matter.

Sharon

Sharon M. Bunzel

O'Melveny & Myers LLP

Two Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94111

(415) 984-8939 (direct)

(415) 984-8701 (facsimile)

sbunzel@omm.com

This message and any attached documents contain information from the law firm of O'Melveny & Myers LLP that may be confidential and/or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, you may not read, copy, distribute, or use this information. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail and then delete this message.

5/29/2009